applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which was being manufactured in the United States at the time it was ordered.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 95–14568 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-F

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Coffee, Sugar & Cocoa Exchange:
Proposed Amendments to the Sugar
No. 11 (World Raw Sugar) Futures
Contract Increasing the Minimum Daily
Loading Rate for Futures Delivery
Sugar and Increasing the Minimum
Depth of Berths or Anchorages
Required at Delivery Ports

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Contract Market Rule Changes.

SUMMARY: The Coffee, Sugar & Cocoa Exchange ("CSCE") has submitted proposed amendments to its Sugar No. 11 (world raw sugar) futures contract that would increase the minimum daily loading rate for sugar delivered against the futures contract and increase the minimum depth of berths or anchorages required at delivery ports. In accordance with Section 5a(a)(12) of the Commodity Exchange Act, and acting pursuant to the authority delegated by Commission Regulation 140.96, the Acting Director of the Division of Economic Analysis ("Division") of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") has determined, on behalf of the Commission, that the proposed amendments are of major economic significance and that publication of the proposed amendments would be in the public interest. On behalf of the Commission, the Division is requesting comment on this proposal.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons should submit their views and comments to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20581. Reference should be made to the proposed amendments increasing the minimum loading rate and the

minimum depth of berths or anchorages that must be provided at delivery ports for sugar No. 11 futures contract deliveries.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frederick V. Linse, Division of Economic Analysis, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20581, telephone (202) 254–7303.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The existing terms of the sugar No. 11 futures contract provide that raw sugar is to be loaded into the receiver's vessel at a port nominated by the deliverer that is customarily used for shipping the particular growth of sugar being delivered. The contract's terms require that deliverers load at least 750 long tons of raw sugar per weather working day (stevedoring holidays excluded) for despatch and demurrage purposes; provided the vessel being loaded is capable of receiving at this rate, and provided that the vessel has a minimum of four hatches available and accessible. If less than four hatches are available and accessible, or if the vessel is otherwise incapable of being loaded at the aforesaid loading rate, the loading rate is reduced proportionately. The current terms of the contract also require that the port nominated by the deliverer must be capable of providing a berth or anchorage that will enable vessels drawing 28 feet of water to proceed to and depart from such berth or anchorage always safely afloat.

The proposed amendments would increase to 1,500 from 750 long tons the minimum amount of raw sugar that a deliverer would be required to load per weather working day (stevedoring holidays excluded). The proposed amendments would also increase to 30 from 28 feet the minimum depth of berths or anchorages that ports nominated by a deliverer must be capable of providing.

In support of the proposed amendments, the CSCE indicated that increased use of mechanical loading at most of the delivery ports used for the delivery of sugar has made the proposed loading rate of 1,500 long tons of sugar per weather working day the commonly used loading rate in the sugar industry. The CSCE also indicated that the proposed minimum depth of berths or anchorages required at delivery ports is necessary to accommodate the larger vessels now generally being built and chartered for the transportation of raw sugar.

The CSCE proposes to make the proposed amendment increasing the

minimum loading rate effective following Commission approval with respect to the May 1996 contract month and all delivery months listed thereafter. The CSCE proposes to make the proposed amendment increasing the minimum depth of berths or anchorages required at delivery ports effective upon Commission approval beginning with the first contract month following the last contract month in which there is an open position and for all contract months listed thereafter.

On behalf of the Commission, the Division is requesting comment on the proposed amendments. In particular, the Division is seeking comment regarding the extent to which the proposed amendments reflect cash market practices. In addition, commenters are requested to address the effect that the proposed amendments may have on the number of ports eligible for futures delivery purposes and the availability of economically deliverable supplies of raw sugar for the futures contract.

Copies of the proposed amendments will be available for inspection at the Office of the Secretariat, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20581. Copies of the amended terms and conditions can be obtained through the Office of the Secretariat by mail at the above address or by telephone at (202) 254–6314.

The materials submitted by the CSCE in support of the proposed amendments may be available upon request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Commission's regulations thereunder (17 CFR part 145 (1987)). Requests for copies of such materials should be made to the FOI, Privacy and Sunshine Act Compliance Staff of the Office of the Secretariat at the Commission's headquarters in accordance with 17 CFR 145.7 and 145.8

Any person interested in submitting written data, views or arguments on the proposed amendments should send such comments to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20581 by the specified date.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on June 8, 1995.

Blake Imel,

Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 95–14530 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

¹The futures contract provides for the delivery of raw sugar produced in 29 countries.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Cost Comparison Studies

The Air Force is conducting the following cost comparison studies in accordance with OMB Circular A–76, Performance of Commercial Activities.

Installation	Cost comparison study
Maxwell AFB, Alabama.	Fuels Management.
Maxwell AFB, Alabama.	Grounds Maintenance.
Maxwell AFB, Alabama.	Refuse Collection.
Little Rock AFB, Arkan- sas.	Transient Aircraft Mainte- nance.
Davis Monthan AFB, Ari- zona.	Military Family Housing Maintenance.
Travis AFB, California. Buckley ANG Base, Colo-	Military Family Housing Maintenance. Airfield Management.
rado. Tyndall AFB, Florida.	Multi-Functional Study: Base Operating Support & Backshop Aircraft Mainte- nance.
Andersen AFB, Guam.	Refuse Collection.
Andrews AFB, Maryland.	Administrative Support.
Columbus AFB, Mississippi.	Base Operating Support.
Keesler AFB, Mississippi.	Grounds Maintenance.
Altus AFB, Oklahoma.	Aircraft Maintenance.
Tinker AFB, Oklahoma.	Grounds Maintenance.
Goodfellow AFB, Texas.	Grounds Maintenance.
Kelly AFB, Texas.	Environmental.
Lackland AFB, Texas.	Trainer Fabrication.
Laughlin AFB, Texas.	Base Operating Support.
Hill AFB, Utah Bolling AFB, Washington, DC.	Child Care Center. Military Family Housing Maintenance.

Patsy J. Conner.

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 95–14469 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3910–01–M

Air Force Academy Board of Visitors Meeting

Pursuant to Section 9355, Title 10, United States Code, the Air Force Academy Board of Visitors will meet at the US Air Force Academy, Colorado, 22–23 July 1995. The purpose of the meeting is to consider morale and discipline, the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the Academy.

A portion of the meeting will be open to the public on Saturday morning, 22 July 1995. Other portions of the meeting will be closed to the public to discuss matters listed in Subsections (2), (4), and (6) of Section 552b(c), Title 5, United States Code. These closed sessions will include attendance at cadet training programs and discussions with cadets, military staff, and faculty officers which include personal information, financial information, and information relating solely to internal personnel rules and practices of the Board of Visitors and the Academy. Meeting sessions will be held in various facilities throughout the cadet area.

For further information, contact Lt. Col. David O. DiMarchi, Policy, Plans, and Programs, HQ USAFA/XPP, 2304 Cadet Drive, Suite 350, USAF Academy, CO 80840–5002, at (719) 472–3933.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 95–14468 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3910–01–M

Department of the Army

Privacy Act of 1974; Add a system of records

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD. **ACTION:** Add a System of Records.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army proposes to add a new record system to its existing inventory of systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

DATES: This proposed action will be effective without further notice on July 10, 1995, unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to U.S. Army Information Systems Command, ATTN: ASOP-MP, Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613–5000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Pat Turner at (602) 538–6856 or DSN 879–6856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of the Army systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address above.

A new system report, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, was submitted on May 30, 1995,

to the House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to paragraph 4b of Appendix I to OMB Circular No. A– 130, 'Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals,' dated July 15, 1994 (59 FR 37906, July 25, 1994)

Dated: June 2, 1995.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

A0040-57aDASG

SYSTEM NAME:

DOD DNA Registry.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Primary location: Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Building No. 54, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, 6825 16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20306–6000.

Secondary location: Service members's medical record. Civilian family member's or other's medical records.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Department of Defense military personnel (active and reserve).

Civilian family members of Department of Defense military personnel (active and reserve) who voluntarily provide specimens for DNA typing for purpose of identifying the human remains of family members.

DoD civilian personnel deploying with the armed forces.

Other individuals may also be included in this system when the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) is requested by Federal, state, local and foreign authorities to identify human remains.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Specimen collections (oral swabs, blood and blood stains, bone, and tissue) from which a DNA typing can be obtained, and the DNA typing results. Accession number, specimen locator information, collection date, place of collection, individual's name, Social Security Number, right index fingerprint, signature, branch of service, sex, race and ethnic origin, address, place and date of birth, and relevant kindred information, past and present.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

5 U.S.C. 301; 10 U.S.C. 176, 177, and 3012; E.O. 9397; Deputy Secretary of Defense memo dated December 16, 1991; and Assistant Secretary of Defense